# Field Biomarkers for Stroke risk prediction



Daniel Laskowitz, MD Duke University Medical Center







### **Biomarker Panel Hypothesis**

The ideal biomarker characteristics (sensitivity to early ischemia, specificity, passage across BBB) may not be present In a single marker

An integrated panel of biomarkers targeting different components of the ischemic cascade would provide better diagnostic accuracy then any one marker alone

N	ovel D	iagnost	ic Test	for A	cute St	roke
John R. L Hilary P. G	ynch, ME rocott, MI	); Robert Ble ), FRCPC; N	ssing, MS Iark F. Ne	N, ACNP; wman, Ml	William D. D; Daniel T.	White, MPH Laskowitz, 1
TABLE 1. Patient Acutely (Within 6 H	Demograp ours of Sj	hics for the ymptom Ons	Data Set I et) and Su	n Which E bacutely (	Blood Was C Between 6 a	oliected and 24
HOURS After Sympto	om Onset) A	cute		Subecute		
	Stroke (n = 16)	No Stroke (n=165)	p	Stroke (n-38)	No Stroke (n=176)	P
Age	62±15	63.3±8	NS	63±5	62±9	NS
Female sex, %	62.5	32.3	0.026	57.9	\$2.0	0.005
				01.0	-32.10	0.005
History of myocardial infarction, %	30.8	12	< 0.001	37.1	2.3	< 0.005

Age is expressed	02+mm+20	Ear the ent	navial characteristics	n accorde	 aison	~
Other	0	4.4	2.6	4.1		
Black	62.5	3.8	52.6	6.4		
White	37.5	91.9	44.7	89.5	<0.0	01

Age is expressed as mean 200. For the categorical characteristics, percents are given a proportion of patients with or without stroke who had the characteristics.

Lynch et al., Stroke, 2004





#### Biomarker Rapid Assessment in Ischemic Injury (BRAIN) study

Alabama Neurological Institute **Cleveland Clinic Foundation Denver Health Duke University Medical Center Hartford Hospital** Hennepin County Medical Center Henry Ford Health Systems Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania **Ingham Medical Center** Kentucky Neuroscience Research **OSF Saint Francis Medical Center** Sentara Norfolk General Hospital St. Luke's Health System UMASS, Worcester Medical Center **University of Cincinnati** University of California, Los Angeles University of Colorado Health Science Center

Birmingham, AL Cleveland, OH Denver, CO Durham, NC Hartford, CT Minneapolis, MN Detroit, MI Philadelphia, PA Lansing, MI Louisville, KY Peoria, IL Norfolk, VA Kansas City, MO Worcester, MA Cincinnati, OH Los Angeles, CA Denver, CO



# **Common mimics**

Migraine	61
Post-ictal	39
Infectious/Systemic	35
Cardiovascular/Syncope	35
Functional/Psychiatric	29
Neuromuscular	24
Mass lesions (SDH)	22
Metabolic/Intoxication	20
Vertigo	17
Bell's palsy	7
Decompensation prior deficit	5







Identifying stroke from mimic: Duke site							
Population Group	Ischemic Stroke Patients (N=53)	Mimics (N=38)					
Initial NIHSS: Average (SD) NIHSS range Age: Average (SD) Sey:	10.5 (7.4) 0-28 65 (16.3)	2.9 (5.1) 0-26 52 (19.2)					
Females (%) Males (%) Race: Caucasian African American All Other	30 (57%) 23 (43%) 35 (66%) 18 (34%) 0 (0%)	24 (63%) 14 (37%) 26 (68%) 12 (32%) 0 (0%)	Discharge Diagnosis Neuropathies Psychiatric Disorders	Mimics (N=38) 7 (18%) 6 (14%)			
			Seizures Syncope Brain Tumors Headaches/Migraines Toxicities Endocrinopathies Cardiac Disorders Other (GI, ophthalmology)	5 (13%)  5 (13%)  4 (11%)  3 (8%)  3 (8%)  2 (5%)  2 (5%)  2 (5%)  4 (11%) $5 (13%)  5 (13%) $			



## Future Directions: Acute Diagnosis

- Which TIA's merit admission?
- Identifying stroke etiology
- Differentiating ischemic vs. hemorrhage stroke?
- Who is a candidate for reperfusion therapy?

Use of biomarkers in pre-hospital setting

